AUG 2 9 2000

#### STATE OF ARIZONA

DEPT. OF INSURANCE

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE ··

In the Matter of:	) Docket No. 00A-132-INS
RANGER INSURANCE COMPANY	) CONSENT ORDER )
NAIC #24384,	)
Respondent	)
	)

Examiners for the Department of Insurance (the "Department") conducted a market conduct examination of Ranger Insurance Company. The Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of Ranger alleges that Ranger has violated A.R.S. §§ 20-385, 20-400.01, 20-466.03, 20-1674, 20-1676, 20-1677, 28-4148 and 28-8343.

Ranger wishes to resolve this matter without formal proceedings, admits that the following Findings of Fact are true, and consents to the entry of the following Conclusions of Law and Order.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Ranger is authorized to transact property and casualty insurance pursuant to a Certificate of Authority issued by the Director.
- 2. The Examiners were authorized by the Director to conduct a market conduct examination of Ranger. The on-site examination was concluded on December 4, 1998. The Examiners prepared the "Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of Ranger Insurance Company" dated December 4, 1998.
- 3. The Examiners reviewed 50 commercial automobile policies issued by Ranger that had effective dates from October 1, 1995 to August 1, 1998. The Examiners issued criticisms of these policies because Ranger failed to maintain documentation to support the

K:\Orders\P&C\Ranger\acc-order.doc

amount of percentage adjustment given under the scheduled rating plan for five policies.

Therefore, the Examiners were unable to calculate the premiums which should have been paid

and determine any overcharges or undercharges.

4. The Examiners reviewed 25 general liability policies issued by Ranger that had effective dates from September 25, 1995 to August 11, 1998. The Examiners issued criticisms of these policies because:

- a. Ranger failed to provide 60 days notice before the expiration date, of premium increase, change in deductible or reduction in limits or coverage on ten policies.
- b. Ranger failed to maintain documentation to support the amount of percentage adjustment given under its schedule rating plan. Consequently, one insured was overcharged by \$659.00.
- 5. The Examiners reviewed 56 commercial multi-peril policy files issued by Ranger that had effective dates from September 19, 1995 to August 31, 1998. The Examiners issued criticisms of these policies because:
- a. Ranger failed to maintain documentation to support the percentage adjustment given under one policy's individual risk premium modification plan and three policies' schedule rating plan.
- b. Ranger failed to provide 60 days notice before the expiration date, of premium increase, change in deductible or reduction in limits or coverage, for two policies.
- c. Ranger applied an unfiled premium for an endorsement entitled "Customer Goods Legal Liability" on 34 policies.
- d. Ranger applied an unfiled premium for an endorsement entitled "Sale and Disposal Legal Liability" on 23 policies.
- e. Ranger applied deductible factors that were inconsistent with its filing. As a result, nine policyholders were overcharged \$360.00.

- f. Ranger issued two policies for a term inconsistent with its filing. The Company filed for a one-year policy term but issued the policies for a term less than one year.
- 6. The Examiners requested a run of all commercial excess (umbrella) policies issued by Ranger during the period of the Examination (effective dates from September 1, 1995 through August 31, 1998). All 27 policies were criticized because Ranger applied unfiled rates.
- 7. The Examiners reviewed 43 cancelled and nonrenewed commercial policy files issued by ranger with cancellation/nonrenewal dates from November 11, 1995 to August 18 1998. The Examiners issued criticisms of these policies because:
- a. Ranger failed to send the notice of nonrenewal by certified mail to one insured
- b. Ranger failed to inform one insured that the Motor Vehicle Division had been notified of the policy nonrenewal and the registration of the insured vehicle(s) was subject to suspension.
- 8. The Examiners reviewed 64 aviation policy files issued by Ranger with cancellation/nonrenewal dates from October 9, 1995 to August 11, 1998. The Examiners issued criticisms of these policies because:
- a. Ranger failed to provide one insured with a 60 day notice of cancellation. In addition, Ranger failed to send the refund of unearned premium with the cancellation notice.
- b. Ranger failed to send a notice of cancellation/nonrenewal by certified mail to six insureds.
- 9. The Examiners reviewed 55 commercial claim files settled by Ranger with loss dates from September 25, 1995 through August 19, 1998. The Examiners issued criticisms of these claims because Ranger failed to include the fraudulent claim warning statement on its claims forms for all 55 claimants.

. . . .

- 10. The Examiners reviewed 33 aviation claim files settled by Ranger with loss dates from September 22, 1995 to August 29, 1998. The Examiners issued criticisms of these claims because:
- a. Ranger failed to send the Affidavit of Total Loss to the Director of the Department of Transportation on five total loss claims.
- b. Ranger failed to include the fraudulent claim warning statement on its claim forms for all 33 claimants.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. By charging unfiled premium rates for endorsements issued on commercial multiperil policies, Ranger violated A.R.S. § 20-385(A).
- 2. By issuing commercial excess (umbrella) policies using unfiled rates, Ranger violated A.R.S. § 20-385(A).
- 3. By issuing commercial multi-peril policies at premiums developed in a manner other than its rates and rules filed with the Department, pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-385(A), Ranger violated A.R.S. § 20-400.01(A).
- 4. By making adjustments to full manual premium developed for commercial automobile, general liability and commercial multi-peril policies without adequate justification for the adjustments, Ranger violated A.R.S. § 20-400.01(B).
- 5. By failing to include the claims warning statement for commercial automobile, general liability, surety, businessowners, commercial multi-peril, and aviation claim forms, Ranger violated A.R.S. § 20-466.03
- 6. By failing to provide a 60 day notice of cancellation for an aviation policy, Ranger violated A.R.S. § 20-1674(A).
- 7. By failing to include a refund of unearned premium with a cancellation notice for an aviation policy, Ranger violated A.R.S. § 20-1674(A).

- 8. By failing to send cancellation notices certified mail for aviation policies, Ranger violated A.R.S. §20-1674(A).
- 9. By failing to send a nonrenewal notice by certified mail for an aviation policy, Ranger violated A.R.S. § 20-1676(B).
- 10. By failing to provide a 60 advance notice to the insured, before the expiration date of the policy, of premium increase, change in deductible or reduction in limits or substantial reduction in coverage for general liability and commercial multi-peril policies, Ranger violated A.R.S. § 20-1677(A).
- 11. By failing to notify an insured that the Motor Vehicle Division had been notified of the policy nonrenewal and the registration of the insured vehicle(s) was subject to suspension, Ranger violated A.R.S. 28-1262 (recodified to A.R.S. § 28-4148(f) on 10/1/97).
- 12. By failing to send an Affidavit of Total Loss to the Director of the Department of Transportation for aviation total loss claims, Ranger violated A.R.S. 28-8343.
- 13. Grounds exist for the entry of the following Order, in accordance with A.R.S. § 20-220.

#### **ORDER**

## IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Ranger shall:
- a. maintain adequate justification in support of the percentage adjustment given on schedule rating plans, individual and rate premium modification plans for commercial automobile policies and general liability,
- b. notify insureds at least 60 days before the expiration date of the policy of premium increase, change in deductible or reduction in limits or substantial reduction in coverage on the general liability and commercial multi peril policies.

- 2. Within 90 days of filed date of this Order, Ranger shall submit to the Arizona Department of Insurance, for approval, evidence that the following corrections have been implemented and communicated to the appropriate personnel. Evidence of corrective action and communication thereof includes but is not limited to memos, bulletins, E-mails, correspondence, procedures manuals, print screens and training materials.
- a. Institute or modify procedures to ensure compliance with A.R.S. §20-400.01(A) regarding the failure to maintain adequate documentation justifying the percentage adjustment given on schedule rating plans and individual rate premium modification plans in its commercial automobile policies and general liability policies.
- b. Institute or modify procedures to ensure compliance with A.R.S. §20-1677(A) regarding the failure to notify insureds 60 days before the expiration date of the policy of premium increase, change in deductible or reduction in limits or substantial reduction in coverage in its general liability and commercial multi-peril policies.
- c. Institute or modify procedures to ensure compliance with A.R.S. §§ 20-400.01(A) regarding applying credits or debits in excess of the filed maximum under the schedule rating plan in its general liability policies.
- d. Institute or modify procedures to ensure compliance with A.R.S. §20-400.01(A) regarding applying premiums that are not consistent with the Company filings for individual risk premium modification, schedule rating plans, Hazardous Contents Removal endorsement and deductible factors in its commercial multi peril policies.
- e. Institute or modify procedures to ensure compliance with A.R.S. §20-385(A) regarding applying unfiled premiums for endorsements entitled "Customer Goods Legal Liability" and "Sale and Disposal Legal Liability" to its commercial multi peril policies.
- f. Institute or modify procedures to ensure compliance with A.R.S. §20-400.01(A) regarding issuing policies with policy terms other than those filed with the Department on its multi peril policies.

- g. Institute or modify procedures to ensure compliance with A.R.S. §20-385(A) regarding applying unfiled premiums to its commercial excess (umbrella) policies.
- h. Institute or modify procedures to ensure compliance with A.R.S. § 20-1676(B) regarding the failure to send notices of nonrenewal by certified mail on its commercial and aviation policies.
- i. Institute or modify procedures to ensure compliance with A.R.S. § 28-1262 (Recodified to A.R.S. § 28-4148(F) on 10/1/97) regarding the failure to advise insureds on its commercial automobile nonrenewal notices, that the Motor Vehicle Department has been notified of the nonrenewal, and the insureds' motor vehicle registration may be suspended.
- j. Institute or modify procedures to ensure compliance with A.R.S. § 20-1674(A) regarding the failure to provide 60 days notice of cancellation and the failure to include the refund of unearned premium on an aviation policy.
- k. Institute or modify procedures to ensure compliance with A.R.S. § 20-1674(A) regarding the failure to send notices of cancellation by certified mail on its aviation policies.
- 1. Modify its forms to ensure compliance with A.R.S. § 20-466.03 regarding the failure to include the Arizona fraudulent claims warning on all claim forms used in the State of Arizona on its commercial automobile, general liability, surety, businessowner, commercial multi peril and aviation policies.
- m. Institute or modify procedures to ensure compliance with A.R.S. § 28-8343 regarding the failure to send the Affidavit of Total Loss to the Director of the Department of Transportation on its aviation claims.
- 3. Within 90 days of the filed date of this Order, Ranger shall refund the following overcharge amounts, plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of the overcharge to the date of the refund:
  - a. \$659.00 to the insured listed in Exhibit A of this Order.

### **CONSENT TO ORDER**

- 1. Respondent Ranger Insurance Company has reviewed the attached Consent Order.
- 2. Respondent admits the jurisdiction of the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, admits the foregoing Findings of Fact, and consents to the entry of the Conclusions of Law and Order.
- 3. Respondent is aware of its right to a hearing, at which it may be represented by counsel, present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Respondent irrevocably waives its right to such notice and hearing and to any court appeals related to this Order.
- 4. Respondent states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to it to induce it to enter into this Order and that it has entered into this Order voluntarily.
- 5. Respondent acknowledges that the acceptance of this Order by the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, is solely to settle this matter against it and does not preclude any other agency or officer of this state or its subdivisions or any other person from any other civil or criminal proceedings, whether civil, criminal, or administrative, as may be appropriate now or in the future.

6. Showstand, who holds the office of

Order for it and on its help!

Order for it and on its behalf.

Oreg. 21, 2000

RANGER INSURANCE COMPANY

D,

K:\Orders\P&C\Ranger\acc-order.doc

-10-

08/16/00

## GENERAL LIABILITY PREMIUM REFUND

A.R.S. § 20-400.01(B)(2)

**Amount** 

\$ 659.00

\$ 659.00

**Policy Number** 

GLO0675391

1 insured

K:\Orders\P&C\Ranger\acc-order.doc

-11-

08/16/00

EXHIBIT A

# COMMERCIAL MULTI-PERIL PREMIUM REFUNDS

A.R.S. § 20-400.01(A)

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

25

1

2

Policy Number	<u>A</u>	mount
SSP0104057	\$	55.00
SSP0105533		27.00
SSP0104400		41.00
SSP0104327		67.00
SSP0104033		20.00
SSP0106062		60.00
SSP0104484		55.00
SSP0105262		24.00
SSP0105756		11.00
9 insureds	\$	360.00

**EXHIBIT B** 

K:\Orders\P&C\Ranger\acc-order.doc

-12-

08/16/00

1	COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered This 29th day of <u>August</u> 2000, to:
2	
3	Sara Begley
4	Deputy Director Paul J. Hogan
5	Chief Market Conduct Examiner
	Market Conduct Examinations Division  Mary Butterfield
6	Assistant Director
7	Consumer Affairs Division Deloris E. Williamson
8	Assistant Director
9	Rates & Regulations Division
,	Kelly Stevens Acting Assistant Director
10	Financial Affairs Division
11	Nancy Howse Chief Financial Examiner
12	Terry L Cooper
13	Fraud Unit Chief
13	
14	DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
15	2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, AZ 85018
16	I noemx, AZ 65016
	DANIGED INGLIDANGE GOASDANE
17	RANGER INSURANCE COMPANY Wendy Germani, Vice President & Chief Actuarial
18	10777 Westheimer, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor
19	Houston, TX 77402
20	
21	in on Buston
22	
23	
24	
, [	

 $K: \label{lem:conder_$